

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,118	06/26/2003	Ray D. Heineman	47320.0128	1117
25928 7	7590 04/29/2005		EXAM	INER
CHRISTOPHER J. KULISH, ESQ			CHEN, TIANJIE	
	HOLLAND & HART LLP P. O. BOX 8749			PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, CO	80201-8749		2652	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/604,118	HEINEMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tianjie Chen	2652			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) [☑ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the appliance 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-55 are subject to restriction as	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		<u>.</u>			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been in Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)		•			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)			
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	948) Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/604,118

Art Unit: 2652

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a magazine-based cartridge library having a

magazine transport device with a tray, classified in class 360, subclass

Page 2

92.

II. Claims 12-47, drawn to a magazine-based cartridge library having a

magazine holder and door mechanism, classified in class 360, subclass

90.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together

in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility

such as in a library without the holder and door mechanism disclosed in Group II; and

invention II can be used without the particular tray disclosed in Group I. See MPEP §

806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification,

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. If Group I is elected, this group contains claims directed to the following

patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species Ia, drawn from claim 8.

Species Ib, drawn from claim 9.

Species Ic, drawn from claim 10.

Species Id, drawn from claim 11,

Application/Control Number: 10/604,118 Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 7 is generic.

3. If Group II is elected, the following restriction will be applied:

IIa: claims 12-47, drawn to a magazine-based cartridge library having a magazine holder and door mechanism, classified in class 360, subclass 90.

IIb: claim 48-55, drawn to a method of using the magazine holder, classified in Inventions IIa and IIb are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method can be used for a system without the particular door mechanism disclosed in Group IIa.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. If group IIa is elected, the following restriction will be applied:

Group IIa1, claims 14, 15, and 48-55, drawn to a magazine holder and means for moving, classified in class 360, subclass 91.

Group IIa2, claims 16-47, drawn to a door mechanism, classified in 369, subclass 292.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/604,118

Art Unit: 2652

Inventions IIa1 and IIa2 are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group IIa1 can be used without the particular door mechanism disclosed in Group IIa2 and invention IIa2 can be used without the particular holder disclosed in Group IIa1. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. If group IIa2 are elected, the following restriction will be applied:

Group IIa2 contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species IIa21, drawn from claims 16-20.

Species IIa22, drawn from claim 21.

Species IIa23, drawn from claims 22-25.

Species IIa24, drawn from claims 26-34.

Species IIa25, drawn from claims 35-39.

Species IIa26, drawn from claims 40-47.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,118

Art Unit: 2652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-

7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cher franze TIANJE CHEN

PRIMARY EXAMINE